

Automated Speed Enforcement: Responsible Mgmt. of Technology That Produces Positive Results

> Captain Thomas Didone Director, Traffic Division

# Montgomery County Program SPEED



- Safe Speed Program began in 2007
- Strong legislation
- Managed by the Police Department
- Developed utilizing proven traffic principles
- Fully funded initiative
- Void of political influence
- Two Independent evaluation

Study says Montgomery speed ca is model for the nation	amera program
A	
	The Chamse: Refusing to give in to the haters after years of threats and abuse  Old lich explosive material
	Next week's wild weather will test Wardingdown of the work of the work of the weather will test Wardingdown of the work o

# IIHS Public Awareness Findings Speed



- Cameras succeed in changing behavior only if drivers know about them. In Montgomery County, 95 percent of drivers surveyed were aware of them. More than three-quarters said they had reduced their speed because of the program, and 59 percent had received a speed-camera ticket personally.
- Automated enforcement can be controversial, and some communities have rolled back programs because of a backlash. However, 62 percent of drivers surveyed in Montgomery County said they favored speed cameras on residential streets. That means there are supporters even among those who have been ticketed.

## No ASE Program is Perfect



- This is because humans are always involved
- Still must strive for perfection like Vision Zero
  - Attention to details
  - Document mistakes
  - Provide oversight
- · Acknowledge & Address shortcomings

# SAFE SPEED

### What Photo Speed Will Do

- Supplements current speed enforcement initiatives
- Increases likelihood of detection therefore increases compliance
- Reduces median speed and crash rates in areas where it is deployed
- Holds drivers accountable without a burden on the court system
- <u>Saves tax dollars</u> by using technology instead of police officers.

# **Successful Programs**



- Begin with strong laws and vendor contracts
- Void of political influence but supported by management
- Managed only by experienced traffic professionals
- Built on principles of integrity and perceived fairness
- Site selection process that has objective criteria and that is defensible
- Aggressive management of vendor & contract
- Reasonable fines
- Proactive public awareness campaign

# Marketing of ASE



- Emphasize deterrence rather than punishment
- Emphasize safety rather than revenue generation
- Maintain program integrity by ensuring that all program employees operate according to program rules and regulations
- Maintain program transparency by educating the public about program and be able to defend decisions made

# Program Support Program Program Program Program Support Progr

3

### **Best Practices**



- ASE only a tool in our traffic strategic plan
- Data-Driven-Site-Selection-Criteria
  - Prioritized locations
  - Saturated & Sustained approach
  - Greasy wheel resistant
- Corridor Approach
- Phantom Cameras
- Double-Blind Validation



### **Best Practices**



- Multi-level review process
- Citizens Advisory Board on Traffic Issues (CABTI)
- Weekly maintenance and performance meetings with vendor
- Partners meetings with DOT and other law enforcement agencies – quarterly
- Internal officer-issued citation accountability program

### Site Selection Criteria



- A. <u>Crash endangerment</u>: ( number of crashes in the designated area)
- B. **Speed endangerment**: ( metro counts)
- C. <u>Environmental factors</u>: (Areas where the equipment can be safely set up and operated).
- D. <u>Traffic volumetric</u>: (Total number of vehicles passing through a selected survey location between rush hour and non rush hour periods).

### Site Selection Criteria



- E. <u>Pedestrian proximity</u>: Conduct site surveys to identify pedestrian-related factors that will help prioritize the roads. What is the pedestrian proximity on a potential speed enforcement road/ deployment location?
- For Example:
- 1. Schools2. Bus stops3. Playgrounds4. Pools
- 5. Sidewalks7. Crosswalk8. Religious Facilities
- 9. Community Centers 10. Library

### Site Selection Criteria



- F. Roadway design & RTW: Feasibility of being able to implement the cameras
- G. <u>Roadway validation</u>: (This process affords the police the opportunity to identify any unforeseen future problems. (E.g. roadway construction and design, confirmation of residential district designation).
- H. <u>Traditional Enforcement concerns</u>: (areas that are not safe for traditional traffic enforcement).

### Personnel







# Reference Material



- Model Community Speed Control Program http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/6000/6800/6872/models.pdf
- Speed Camera Operational Guidelines http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/speedmgt/ref\_mats/fhwasa09028/5.htm
- NHTSA Counter Measures that Work http://www.nhtsa.gov/staticfiles/nti/pdf/812202-CountermeasuresThatWork8th.pdf
- $IIHS Study Summary \\ \underline{http://www.iihs.org/iihs/news/desktopnews/speed-cameras-yield-long-term-safety-benefits-iihs-study-shows}$
- IIHS Actual Study http://www.iihs.org/frontend/iihs/documents/masterfiledocs.ashx?id=2097
- MC OLO Report https://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/olo/resources/files/2010-3\_speed.pdf

ATEU Website http://www.montgomery.countymd.gov/POU/Chief/bureaus/field/traffic/ateu/index.html http://www.montgomery.countymd.gov/POU/Chief/bureaus/field/traffic/ateu/selection\_process\_safesp\_ed.html

Question	ns SPEED

_	 			
 _				





