BREATH TEST REFUSAL RATES IN THE UNITED STATES – 2011 UPDATE

Nathan Warren-Kigenyi, MPH

Work Performed During Public Health Fellowship

with the

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's Office of Behavioral Safety Research

Alcohol-Impaired Driving Facts

 $\hfill\square$ 31 % of traffic fatalities involved an impaired driver

 \blacksquare Driver with a BAC of .08 or greater

□ 1.2 million drivers were arrested for DUI in 2011

Focus of Presentation

Two studies recently published as NHTSA Research Notes :

Breath test refusals

Repeat DWI offenders

NHTSA Research Note on BAC Test Refusals

Background

□ Challenges enforcing impaired driving laws

□ Reasons why people refuse to submit to BAC testing

□ Previous NHTSA studies on breath test refusal rates:
 □ 19% in 1987
 □ 25% in 2001

■ 22% in 2005

Breath Test Refusal Rates – 2011 Update

□ Objectives:

Collect 2011 BAC test refusal data

Compare 2011 BAC test refusal rates to previous data

■ Identify challenges that impact BAC test refusal rates

Information Provided by States

- NHTSA obtained 2011 data from 45 States regarding:
 BAC test requests
 - BAC test refusalsBAC test refusal rates
- □ 34 States provided data sufficient for analysis
- States provided feedback, including challenges, recommendations and examples of State practices

| Key Findings Yearly comparison | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | | | | | |
| Mean | 19% | 25% | 22% | 24% | |
| Median | 14% | 18% | 17% | 18% | |
| Weighted Mean | - | 24% | 21% | 19% | |
| Range | 1% - 72% | 5% - 85% | 2% - 81% | 1% - 82% | |

Key Findings

- BAC refusal rates from 2005 to 2011
 Decreased in 11 States
 Increased in 12 States
 No change in 5 States
- □ The mean refusal rate over time has ranged from been 19-25%

Key Findings

- Two States had refusal rates above 70%
 Florida (82%)
 New Hampshire (72%)
- □ Two additional States had rates above 40%
 □ Montana (46%)
 □ Kentucky (45%)
- $\hfill\square$ 22 of 34 States had rates below 25%
- $\hfill\square$ Seven States had rates of 10% or less

| | 2005 | 2011 |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|
| # of States Above 70% | 1 | 2 |
| # of States Above 40% | 3 | 4 |
| # of States Below 25 % | 27 of 39 | 22 of 34 |
| # of States Below 10% | 7 | 7 |

BAC Testing Challenges Faced by States

- Improve BAC test record keeping
- Inadequate test equipment and personnel
- Recommendations from States:
- Stricter sanctions for BAC test refusals
- Do not count forced blood withdrawals as compliantDocument incomplete tests due to equipment failures

Current and Recommended State Practices

Refusals can be used as evidence of guilt

- $\hfill\square$ Centralized systems for BAC test records
- $\hfill\square$ Quick access to testing equipment in rural areas
- $\hfill\square$ Sanctions for refusing BAC test is as severe as failure
- Forced blood testing (e.g. by obtaining a warrant)

Conclusions and Lessons Learned

 \square The lowest BAC test refusal rate was in 1987 at 19%

Since 2005 refusal rates
 Decreased in 12 States
 Increased in 12 States
 No Change in 4 States

Accurate record keeping and stricter penalties for refusals were noted as promising practices

> NHTSA Research Note on Repeat DWI Offenders

Background

- Previous NHTSA study on DWI Recidivism published in 1995
- One-third of DWI arrests are repeat offenders
- Data from 12 States
- $\hfill\square$ Calculated using arrests and convictions
- Statistic continues to be cited although calculated nearly 20 years ago

DWI Recidivism – 2014 Update

Updated and expanded analysis:

- 36 States versus 12 States
- Used weighed means to account for # of drivers in each State
- Collected information on arrests, convictions and license suspension
- Examined DWI recidivism by look-back period

Key Findings

- □ 25% of drivers arrested for DWI are repeat offenders
- □ State percentages ranged from 11-44%
- States with longer look-back periods had higher DWI recidivism prevalence's

| | Arrested for DWI | Convicted of DWI | License Suspension for DWI |
|------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1995 | 31% | 31.5% | - |
| 2014 | 25% | 29.5% | 34% |



Implications on Policy

- Prevalence of DWI recidivism can help determine resources needed for prevention efforts
 Specific versus general deterrence
- Longer look-back periods are important for programmatic purposes
 Shorter look-back periods produce conservative estimates
- Centralized systems for record keeping can help States keep track of their current DWI recidivism prevalence
- Evaluations of interventions can be found in Countermeasures That Work

Thank you

Questions?

Contact Information:

NHTSA Office of Behavioral Safety Research

> Heidi Coleman Heidi.Coleman@dot.gov